

# Continuing

Yinghui Wang, Mehrdad Alemzadeh

CAS 706

November 4, 2010

Introduction

OOP

FP

example

Mixin Classes Extending A

Pattern Matching

So what does pattern matching do?

Types

Parameterized Types

Abstract Types

# Introduction

- ▶ The design of Scala started in 2001 at the EPFL by **Martin Odersky**
- ▶ stands for "**Scalable language**"
  - ▶ desirable feature of a program or algorithm
  - ▶ Aspects of scalability
- ▶ **Multi-paradigm** Language  
allow programmers to use the best tool for a job

# OOP

- ▶ Scala is a **pure OO** language
- ▶ extended by subclassing and multiple inheritance
- ▶ runs on the standard Java and .NET platforms
- ▶ interoperates seamlessly with all Java libraries
- ▶ "Scala goes further than all other well-known languages in fusing object oriented and functional programming." (Martin Odersky)
- ▶ Main goals

# FP

- ▶ also supports functional programming –anonymous function, Higher-order functions, curryin, Pattern matching, Tail call
- ▶ languages = no side effects
- ▶ FP can include:
  - garbage collection, Abstract types, functions as first-class values, lazy evaluation

## Examples I

- ▶ **def** functionName(arg1: Type1, arg2: Type2): ReturnType = functionDefinition
  - *scala* > **def** timesTwo(n: Int): Int =  $n * 2$   
timesTwo: (Int)Int
  - *scala* > timesTwo(10)  
res0: Int = 20
- ▶ **Higher-Order** Functions  
scala> **def** applyFn(fn: Int => Int, arg: Int) = fn(arg)  
applyFn: ((Int) => Int,Int)Int scala>  
applyFn(timesTwo, 10)  
res2: Int = 20

## Examples II

- ▶ Anonymous functions:  
(arg1: Type1, arg2: Type2) => functionDefinition  
scala> (n: Int) => n \* 3  
res4: (Int) => Int = < function >  
And used like so:  
scala> applyFn((n: Int) => n \* 3, 10)  
res5: Int = 30  
scala> applyFn(\* 3, 10)  
res7: Int = 30

## Example

```
import scala.io._ def toInt(in: String): Option[Int] = try {  
  Some(Integer.parseInt(in.trim))  
}catch  
{  
  case e: NumberFormatException => None }  
}
```



## Mixin Classes Extending A I

```
trait RichIterator extends A {  
  def foreach(f: T => Unit)  
  { while (hasNext) f(next) }  
}
```

```
class StringIterator  
  (s: String) extends A {  
  type T = Char  
  private var i = 0  
  def hasNext =  
    i < s.length()  
  def next = { val ch = s  
    charAt i; i += 1; ch } }
```

## Cont

```
object StringIteratorTest {  
def main(args: Array[String]) {  
class Iter extends StringIterator(args(0)) with RichIterator  
val iter = new Iter  
Iter foreach println } }
```

# Pattern Matching

- ▶ a first-match policy.
- ▶ **case class** Person(firstName:String, lastName: String);  
val People = List(  
    Person(" Jane", " Smith"),  
    Person(" John", " Doe"),  
    Person(" Jane", " Eyre"));  
    **for**(Person(" Jane", last) i- people)**yield** "Ms. " + last;  
t-match policy.
- ▶ Results "Ms. Smith", "Ms. Eyre"

## So what does pattern matching do?

- ▶ Sort of like a switch statement in Java. you match what are essentially the creation forms of objects.
- ▶ `case Nil => ...`
- ▶ `case x :: xs => ...`
- ▶ Patterns actually nest, just like expressions nest, so you can have very deep patterns. Generally the idea is that a pattern looks just like an expression.
- ▶ So why do you need pattern matching?

# Types

- ▶ Scala is a statically-typed language
- ▶ comprehensive, complete, and consistent
- ▶ Scala's parameterized types are similar to Java and C# generics and C++ templates
- ▶ a declaration like `class List[+A]` means that `List` is parameterized by a single type, represented by `A`. The `+` is called a variance annotation.

## Parameterized Types

Sometimes, a Parameterized type like `list` is called a type constructor, because it is used to create specific types. For example, `List` is the type constructor for `List[String]` and `List[Int]`, which are different types. In fact, it is more accurate to say that all traits and classes are type constructors. Those without type parameters are effectively zero-argument, parameterized types.

# Abstract Types I

Scala also supports abstract types, which are common in functional languages overlap somewhat Parameterized types are the most natural fit for parameterized container types like List and Option

- ▶ Consider the declaration of Some from the standard library.  
`case final class Some[+A](val x : A) { ... }`
- ▶ abstract types  
`case final class Some(val x : ???) { type A ... }`

## Cont

- ▶ If a type will have constructor arguments declared using a "placeholder" type that has not yet been defined, then parameterized types are the only good solution (short of using Any or AnyRef).
- ▶ You can use abstract types as method arguments and return values within a function.



## Resources I



### First step

<http://www.artima.com/scalazine/articles/steps.html>



### Pattern matching

[http://www.artima.com/scalazine/articles/pattern\\_matching.html](http://www.artima.com/scalazine/articles/pattern_matching.html)



### Type classes

<http://lambda-the-ultimate.org/taxonomy/term/32>



### Wiki scala

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scala\\_\(programming\\_language\)](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scala_(programming_language))

## Resources II



### Types

<http://programming-scala.labs.oreilly.com/ch12.html>



<http://www.scala-lang.org/>



[http://www.cs.caltech.edu/~mvancier/hacking/rants/scalable\\_computer\\_programming\\_languages.html](http://www.cs.caltech.edu/~mvancier/hacking/rants/scalable_computer_programming_languages.html)