

## Design and Selection of Programming Languages

4 November 2005

### Exercise 8.1 — Using Operational Semantics to Prove Incorrectness

The following Hoare triples do not hold.

For each of these Hoare triples, present a derivation in the operational semantics that proves a counterexample to the statement.

- (a)  $\{x \geq -5\} z := 5 - x \{z \leq 11 \wedge x \geq -3\}$
- (b)  $\{x \geq -5\} z := 5 - x ; x := z + 2 \{z \leq 11 \wedge x \geq -3\}$

“Proving a counterexample” for the Hoare triple

$$\{pre\}Prog\{post\}$$

means to derive an assertion

$$\sigma_1(Prog) \Rightarrow \sigma_2$$

involving

- a state  $\sigma_1$  for which *pre* **holds**, and
- a state  $\sigma_2$  for which *post* **does not hold**.

### Solution Hints

- (a) Using operational semantics, we can prove a counterexample:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \{x \mapsto -5\}(5) \Rightarrow 5 \\ \{x \mapsto -5\}(x) \Rightarrow -5 \end{array}}{\{x \mapsto -5\}(5 - x) \Rightarrow 10} \quad \frac{}{\{x \mapsto -5\}(z := 5 - x) \Rightarrow \{x \mapsto -5, z \mapsto 10\}}$$

This last state clearly does not satisfy  $\{z \leq 11 \wedge x \geq -3\}$

- (b) For  $\{x \geq -5\} z := 5 - x ; x := z + 2 \{z \leq 11 \wedge x \geq -3\}$ , we again use operational semantics (expression evaluation not shown) to prove a counterexample:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \{x \mapsto 20\}(5 - x) \Rightarrow -15 \\ \{x \mapsto 20\}(z := 5 - x) \Rightarrow \{x \mapsto 20, z \mapsto -15\} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \{x \mapsto 20, z \mapsto -15\}(z + 2) \Rightarrow -13 \\ \{x \mapsto 20, z \mapsto -15\}(x := x + z) \Rightarrow \{x \mapsto -13, z \mapsto -15\} \end{array}}{\{x \mapsto 20\}(z := 5 - x ; x := z + 2) \Rightarrow \{x \mapsto -13, z \mapsto -15\}}$$

Although  $\{x \mapsto 20\}$  satisfies the precondition  $\{x \geq -5\}$ , the final state  $\{x \mapsto -13, z \mapsto -15\}$  does not satisfy the postcondition  $\{z \leq 11 \wedge x \geq -3\}$ .

### Exercise 8.2 — Semantics of Exceptions

We consider a simple imperative programming language with exceptions, with the following **abstract syntax**:

$\begin{array}{l} Stmt ::= \text{skip} \\   \quad Id := Expr \\   \quad Stmt ; Stmt \\   \quad \text{if } Expr \text{ then Stmt else Stmt} \\   \quad \text{while } Expr \text{ do Stmt} \\   \quad \text{throw } Expr \\   \quad \text{try Stmt catch}( Id ) Stmt \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} Expr ::= Id \\   \quad Num \\   \quad Bool \\   \quad Expr Op Expr \\ Op ::= + \mid - \mid * \mid / \mid \leq \mid \geq \mid < \mid > \end{array}$
---	--

- (a) Define Haskell datatypes for the abstract syntax of this language.

We still have the following basic semantic domains:

$$\begin{array}{lll} Val & = \text{Bool} + \text{Num} & \text{values} \\ \text{Store} & = Id \rightarrow Val & (\text{simple}) \text{ stores} \end{array}$$

We denote the elements of  $Val$  by True, False, 0, 1, 2, ...

- (b) For each of the following, indicate whether it denotes an element of the set  $Store$ , i.e., a possible  $Store$  (the notation “ $a \mapsto b$ ” means exactly the pair “ $(a, b)$ ”):

1. True:  False:   $\{b \mapsto \{\text{True}\}, n \mapsto 0\}$
2. True:  False:   $\{k \mapsto 7, b \mapsto 42, m \mapsto 1001, n \mapsto 1, b \mapsto \text{False}\}$
3. True:  False:   $\{b \mapsto 42, k \mapsto \text{True}\}$
4. True:  False:   $\{k \mapsto 5, b \mapsto \text{True}, s \mapsto \text{skip}\}$
5. True:  False:   $\{\} \times Val$
6. True:  False:   $\{n\} \times \{0\}$
7. True:  False:   $\{n\} \times \{0, 1, 2\}$
8. True:  False:   $\{k, m, n\} \times \{0\}$

From an operational point of view, assuming that the expression  $e$  evaluates to the number  $k$ , the statement “**throw**  $e$ ” raises exception  $k$ .

We allow **only numbers** as exceptions.

If a statement raising an exception is not enclosed by any “**try \_ catch**” construct, then this exception immediately leads to program termination with an *uncaught exception*.

If there is an enclosing “**try \_ catch**” construct, then this is of the shape “**try \_ catch(  $i$  )  $s_2$** ” for some identifier  $i$  and a statement  $s_2$ . In that case, execution proceeds immediately to  $s_2$  in an environment where the identifier  $i$  is bound to the numerical value of the caught exception.

- (c) Write down the  $Store$  that the statement  $s_2$  executes from when control arrives at  $s_2$  in the following program:

$$k := 100 ; \text{try } q := 42 ; \text{throw } 14 ; s := q + 1 \text{ catch}( n ) s_2$$

### Solution Hints

The store is:  $\{k \mapsto 100, q \mapsto 42, n \mapsto 14\}$

---